

This gives us

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{symmetric} \\ \text{pos. definite} \\ \text{mat. in } Sp(\mathbb{R}^k) \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow[\text{diffeo.}]{\sim} Sp(\mathbb{R}^k) / U(n)$$

But also:

$$Sp(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) / U(n) \xrightarrow[\text{diffeo.}]{\sim} \mathcal{J}(V, \omega)$$

$$AU(n) \longmapsto A * \underbrace{J_0}_{\text{standard complex structure on } \mathbb{R}^{2n}}$$

standard complex structure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$

This map is onto by Gram-Schmidt for Hermitian structures.

It still remains to show:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{symmetric} \\ \text{pos. def.} \\ \text{mat in } Sp(\mathbb{R}^{2m}) \end{array} \right\} \cong \mathbb{R}^m \quad \text{for some } m.$$

Defn. A Hermitian structure on a real vector space  $V$

is a choice of compatible

↳ inner product  $g$

↳ symplectic tensor  $\omega$

↳ complex structure  $J$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \forall u, v \in V \\ g(u, v) = \omega(u, Jv) \end{array} \right\}$$

This is equivalent to  $H = g + i\omega : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  being a Hermitian inner product.

Recall: This implies the existence of a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subset V$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  s.t.  $H(v_i, v_j) = \delta_{ij}$ .

This is equivalent to  $(V, J, H) \cong (\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}, i, (z, w) \mapsto \sum_i \bar{z}_i w_i)$

Proof. Hermitian Gram-Schmidt

Recall: A Lie group is a group object in the category  $C^\infty$ -mfld. i.e.  $G$  together with  $\mu: G \times G \rightarrow G$ ,  $(a, b) \mapsto ab$ ,  $i: G \rightarrow G$ ,  $a \mapsto a^{-1}$  both of which are smooth.

**Fact**  $U(n), Sp(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  are Lie groups as embedded submanifolds of  $\text{End}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ .

Proof. See, e.g. [John Lee].

Exercise: Consider the map  $Sp(V, \omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(V, \omega)$

$$A \mapsto A * J_0$$

"

$$A \circ J_0 \circ A^{-1}$$

(a) It induces a bijection

$$Sp(V, \omega) / U(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}(V, \omega)$$

(Hint: Use Gram-Schmidt)

(b) The map is proper.

**Fact**

If  $G$  is a Lie group and  $H \subset G$  a closed subgroup, then  $G/H$  is a manifold (i.e.  $\exists!$  manifold structure such that  $G \rightarrow G/H$  is a submersion. Indeed,  $G$  is a principle  $H$ -bundle.

See, eg, appendix of Ginzburg-Guillemin-Karshon

**Fact**


Let  $G$  be a Lie group and  $M$  a mfd. Suppose  $G \curvearrowright M$  and  $x \in M$ . Let  $H = \text{Stab}_G\{x\} = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot x = x\}$ . The map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & M \\ a & \longmapsto & a \cdot x \end{array}$$

induces an injective immersion  $G/H \rightarrow M$ .

Moreover, this map is a weak embedding: (or diffeological embedding).

Note: embedding  $\not\subseteq$  weak embedding  $\not\subseteq$  injective immersion


$$t \mapsto [t, \alpha t] \text{ for } \alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$$

is a diffeological embedding, but not an embedding.

$$(0,1) \longrightarrow \text{figure-eight}$$

injective immersion which is not a weak embedding.

We leave "weak embedding" undefined, but know this:

If  $i: X \rightarrow M$  is a weak embedding,  $\exists!$  mfd structure on  $X$  such that  $i$  is an immersion.

$$Sp(V, \omega) \curvearrowright \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(V) \ni J_0$$

By the exercise and the facts on group actions, we get  $Sp(V, \omega)/U(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(V, \omega)$  is a proper weak embedding  $\Rightarrow$  embedding.

In Summary: This is what it means for  $\mathcal{J}(V, \omega)$  to "be" a manifold. So:

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega) \xleftarrow[\text{diffeo.}]{\sim} Sp(\mathbb{R}^{2n})/U(n)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Symmetric} \\ \text{matrices} \\ \text{in } \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{log}} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{symmetric,} \\ \text{pos. definite} \\ \text{mat. in } Sp(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \end{array} \right\}$$

|||  
Details on  
course website  
to follow

Let's do the crucial lemma again:

### Crucial Lemma

There exists an  $Sp(V, \omega)$ -equivariant smooth strong deformation retraction from

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{inner products} \\ \text{on } V \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(V, \omega)$$

i.e.  $\pi_t: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{inner products} \\ \text{on } V \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(V, \omega)$  such that

↳  $(t, g) \rightarrow \pi_t g$  is smooth

↳  $\pi_0$  is the identity map

↳  $\pi_t g = g \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{G}(V, \omega) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$

↳  $\text{im } \pi_1 = \mathcal{G}(V, \omega)$

Proof. See Yael's notes.

### Office Hours

↳ There are applications of symplectic geometry to low dimensional topology (Haegaard-Floer homology)

↳ There are aspects of symplectic geometry which are unproven but widely accepted; gaps are being filled in over time.

↳  $\dim W^\Omega = \text{codim } W$  for  $W \subset V$  symplectic

**Fact**  $\omega^\#: V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^*$

↳ Can you show this?

↳  $S \subseteq V \Rightarrow \text{Ann}(S) \subseteq V^*$

$$\dim S + \dim \text{Ann}(S) = \dim V$$